# Arastırma Makalesi



www.ispecjournal.com

ISPEC Journal of Agr. Sciences 5(4): 766-772, 2021 Copyright © ISPEC

Research Article

Households' Perception of Fruits and Vegetables Consumption in Olorunda Local Government Area, Osun State, Nigeria

#### Abstract

This study assessed respondents' perception of fruits and vegetables consumption in the study area. Relevant data were collected from one hundred and twenty (120) randomly sampled households. Data were analyzed as appropriate. Results showed that 68.3% of the respondents were females, 96.7% had varying degrees of formal education while means of household size and monthly income were  $4\pm 2$  persons and  $\$57,500\pm23,300$ , respectively. Respondents had strong perception for the facts that vegetables can be easily incorporated into meals than fruits, fruits have more nutritional benefits than vegetables and too much consumption of fruits and vegetables lead to running stomach and watery stool ranking 1st, 2nd and 3rd, respectively but weak perception for the facts that fruits can be easily incorporated into meals than vegetables, excessive consumption of fruits and vegetables can cause stomach ulcer and fruits and vegetables are often costlier than real food items ranked 8th, 9th and 10th, respectively. Seasonality, availability and taste and preference were the major constraints to fruits and vegetables consumption in the study area. The study concluded that there were misperceptions on consumption of fruits and vegetables and recommended value reorientation on nutritional and health benefits of fruits and vegetables consumption in the study area.

Idris-Adeniyi K.M.1a\* Busari, A.O.<sup>2a</sup> Alabi, A.A.1b

<sup>1</sup>Osun State University, Department of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development, Osogbo, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Osun State University, Department of Agricultural Economics and Agribusiness Management, Osogbo, Nigeria

<sup>1a</sup>**ORCID**: 0000-0001-7734-7342 <sup>2a</sup>ORCID: 0000-0003-3571-8533 <sup>1b</sup>**ORCID**: 0000-0003-1688-4798

\*Corresponding author: kaothar.idris-adeniyi@uniosun.edu.ng

#### DOI

https://doi.org/10.46291/ISPECJASv ol5iss4pp766-772

Alms (Received): 18/05/2021

Kabul Tarihi (Accepted): 20/06/2021

### Keywords

Perception, fruits and vegetables, consumption, likert scale, nutritional benefits

## INTRODUCTION

Fruits and vegetables consumption is crucial to the availability of micronutrients to the body as they are rich sources of vitamins and minerals which are required for the normal functioning of the human body. Apart from providing micronutrients, fruits and vegetables are known to provide dietary fibers (soluble and insoluble) which are vital for the optimal functioning of the gastro-intestinal tract. They also enable the body to use other nutrients required for its functioning. Low normal fruit vegetable intake is the main contributor to micronutrient deficiencies in the developing world especially in population with low intake of animal protein foods such as meat and dairy products. Fruits and vegetables provide micro-nutrients such as vitamins, minerals and dietary fibre to the body increasing life expectancy, thereby reducing risks of cancer and cardiovascular diseases, and preventing obesity (Rolls et al., 2004; Belivia et al., 2013; Conner et al., 2017; Oyebode et al., 2014; Mathilda et al., 2012; Ifeoluwapo, 2018). Specifically, studies have shown that fruits are rich in potassium which helps to prevent loss of bone and the formation of kidney stones (United States Department of Agriculture (USDA, 2009) and (Ifeoluwapo, 2018). Fruits aid the regular functioning of brain cells thus preventing memory loss and also promote proper functioning of the digestive system and overall well-being of the human body (USDA, 2009 and Ifeoluwapo, 2018). In the same vein, vegetables are loaded with immense health benefits. They prevent obesity, protect vital organs of the body and help in the formation of healthy hair and skin. They are also a good source of antioxidants which boost body immunity against diseases and digestive disorders such as constipation, haemorrhoids and watery stools (Ifeoluwapo, 2018). Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO, 2003) emphasized the need to increase fruits and vegetables consumption as a major global health challenge. This is sequel to the deficiencies of micro-nutrients experienced

globally resulting in serious nutritional disorders such as impaired immune system, birth defects, retarded physical and mental development among other serious conditions. World Health Organisation (WHO, 2003) and FAO (2003) set the population nutrient goals and recommended intake at a minimum of 400g for fruits and vegetables per day in a bid to protect the body against serious heart diseases, cancer, diabetes and obesity. The required daily intake of fruits and vegetables differ considerably across the world. It ranges from less than 100g/day in less developed economies to about 450 g/day in Western Europe. Among the 21 countries considered by the WHO (2003) to determine which developed countries had national average of fruit and vegetable consumptions within the recommended values, only Israel, Spain and Italy were found to have acceptable national average intakes of at least 400g/day at 5 or more servings. It was also discovered that even in developed countries, the intake of fruits and vegetables is lower among African-Americans Caucasians. than Furthermore, it has been noted globally that both knowledge and attitude of adults to fruits and vegetables intake is grossly below the nutritionally recommended threshold and acceptable limits. The situation is more exacerbated in developing economies. Ihucha (2011) affirmed that the risks of disease and death due to malnutrition and non-communicable diseases (NCDs) are higher in households with low consumption of fruits and vegetables. In Nigeria, micronutrient malnutrition has been identified as a widespread problem with serious economic consequences including cognitive losses, work losses, low productivity, ill-health among others (Adish, 1999 and Ifeoluwapo, 2018). Ruel et al. (2004) affirmed that adult intake of fruits and vegetables is low and is solely influenced by income and individuals' perception of the importance of these food items to adequate nutrition in developing countries. To identify effective and longterm strategies to increase fruits and

vegetables intake, it is highly imperative to establish the underlying factors that influence consumption. Hence, this study was poised to investigate households' perception of fruits and vegetables consumption in Olorunda Local Government Area of Osun State, Nigeria. Specifically, the study described socioeconomic characteristics of respondents; examined the quantity and fruits vegetables frequency of and consumption among respondent; examined respondents' perception of fruits and vegetables consumption and identified the constraints to fruits and vegetables consumption in the study area.

# **MATERIALS and METHOD**

Data used in this study were obtained from primary sources. Purposive sampling technique was used to select three (3) prominent markets (Akindeko, Igbonna and Ota-Efun ) having high concentration of fruits and vegetables sellers within the study area while forty (40) households were randomly selected from the clusters of households around each of the three selected markets giving a total of one hundred and twenty (120) households which constituted the study sample. Data were collected from household heads with aid of well-structured interview the Relevant information schedule. collected to address the stated specific objectives. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics as well as Likert scale. The descriptive statistics employed included frequencies, percentages, mean and standard deviation.

# RESULTS and DISCUSSION Socio-economic characteristics of the respondents

Table 1 presents the results of the socioeconomic characteristics of

respondents. Majority (60.0%) were found in the age range of 20-40 years while mean age was found as 39.9±23.7 years. This implies that most of the respondents were middle aged and still in their active years and are therefore expected to be well informed about the significance of fruits and vegetables in human diet. Majority (68.3%) of the respondents were females, and 76.7% were married, a few (3.3%) of the sampled respondents had no formal education, 31.7% were OND/NCE holders, 25.0% were secondary school certificate holders, 21.7% held HND/B.Sc certificates, 15.0% were primary school leavers while 3.3% held postgraduate degrees. implies that the sampled respondents had fair share of formal education. Half (50.0%) of the respondents were Muslims, 46.7% were Christians while 3.3% practiced traditional religion. Most (86.6%) of the respondents had household size ranging between 1-6 persons while 13. 3% had 7-9 persons in their households. The mean household size was found as 4±2 persons. This result is a true reflection of the mean age and educational status of respondents because they kept sizeable families. Results further revealed that 35.0% of the sampled respondents were primarily engaged as civil servants, 38.3% were traders while 23.3% were artisans. Majority (60.0%) of the respondents earned between №1,000 and №50,000 on monthly basis, 40.0% earned between №51,000 and ₹150,000 per month while mean monthly income was found as  $\$57,500\pm23,300$ . This implies that most of the respondents are average income earners compared with the national minimum wage. Therefore, they should be able to afford decent and healthy meals, fortified with fruits and vegetables consumption.

**Table 1.** Distribution of respondents based on socioeconomic characteristics

| Variables                 | Frequency | Percentage | Mean           |
|---------------------------|-----------|------------|----------------|
| Age (Years)               |           |            |                |
| 20 – 30                   | 24        | 20.0       |                |
| 31 - 40                   | 48        | 40.0       |                |
| 41 - 50                   | 36        | 30.0       | 39.8±23.7years |
| 51 - 60                   | 12        | 10.0       | •              |
| Gender                    |           |            |                |
| Male                      | 38        | 31.7       |                |
| Female                    | 82        | 68.3       |                |
| Marital Status            |           |            |                |
| Single                    | 14        | 11.7       |                |
| Married                   | 92        | 76.7       |                |
| Separated                 | 06        | 5.0        |                |
| Divorced                  | 08        | 6.7        |                |
| <b>Educational Status</b> |           |            |                |
| No Formal education       | 04        | 3.3        |                |
| Primary education         | 18        | 15.0       |                |
| Secondary education       | 30        | 25.0       |                |
| OND/NCE                   | 38        | 31.7       |                |
| HND/BSC                   | 26        | 21.7       |                |
| Religion                  |           |            |                |
| Islam                     | 60        | 50.00      |                |
| Christianity              | 56        | 46.7       |                |
| Traditionalist            | 04        | 3.3        |                |
| Household size            |           |            |                |
| 1 - 3                     | 40        | 33.3       |                |
| 4 - 6                     | 64        | 53.3       | 4±2Persons     |
| 7 - 9                     | 16        | 13.3       |                |
| Primary Occupation        |           |            |                |
| Civil Servants            | 42        | 35.0       |                |
| Trader                    | 46        | 38.3       |                |
| Artisans                  | 32        | 26.7       |                |
| Monthly income ('000 Nain | ·a)       |            |                |
| 1 - 50                    | 72        | 60         |                |
| 51 - 100                  | 36        | 30         | ₹57,500±23,300 |
| 101 - 150                 | 12        | 10.0       |                |
| Total                     | 120       | 100.0      |                |

**Source:** Field survey, 2018.

# Quantity and frequency of fruits and vegetables consumption among respondents

Table 2 shows the distribution of the respondents according to the quantity of fruits and vegetables consumption per week in their households. The table reveals the mean weekly consumption of cashew, onion, tomato, mango, amaranths, citrus, pawpaw, jute mallow and banana/plantain among 9.71%, 58.3%, 53.3%, 5.07%, 4.96%, 4.85%, 4.66 and 4.77% of the sampled respondents as 4.48kg, 3.12kg, 3.10kg, 2.34kg, 2.29kg, 2.24kg, 2.20kg, 2.15kg and 2.14kg respectively. The least

consumed fruit and vegetable is apple with a mean consumption of 0.52kg per week by few (3.3%) of the sampled respondents. This may be due to the high cost of apple since it is mainly imported and is of high premium. Table 3 reveals that the most frequently consumed fruits and vegetables are onions and tomatoes which are both consumed over eleven times in a week by 46.7% and 38.3% of sampled respondents respectively, on the average. This is closely followed by citrus, mango, amaranthus, waterleaf, banana/plantain and carrot which are consumed for 7.93, 7.52, 7.31, 7.04, 6.28, 6.04 mean number of times per week by 6.67%, 6.67%, 6.67%, 6.67%, 5.0%, and 5.0% of respondents respectively. However, apple being the least frequently consumed was taken for 1.89 times/weekly by a few (3.33%) of the

respondents. This trend is not far from the facts that apples are very expensive compared with other listed fruits and vegetables, since they are not grown locally but are exotic species.

**Table 2.** Distribution of the respondent according to quantity of fruits and vegetables consumed per week

| Fruits/vegetables | Mean (kg) | Percentage |
|-------------------|-----------|------------|
| Citrus            | 2.2       | 5.0        |
| Mango             | 2.3       | 13.3       |
| Banana/plantain   | 2.1       | 5.0        |
| Pawpaw            | 2.2       | 6.7        |
| Garden Eggs       | 1.6       | 5.0        |
| Carrot            | 1.7       | 8.3        |
| Cucumber          | 1.3       | 3.3        |
| Waterleaf         | 2.3       | 6.7        |
| Onion             | 3.1       | 46.7       |
| Tomato            | 3.1       | 38.3       |
| Jute Mallow       | 2.2       | 5.0        |
| Amaranthus        | 2.3       | 11.7       |
| Telfeira          | 1.7       | 6.7        |
| Bitterleaf        | 1.6       | 3.3        |
| Cashew            | 1.5       | 10.0       |
| Celocia           | 1.4       | 3.3        |
| Apple             | 0.5       | 3.3        |

**Source:** Field Survey, 2018. **Multiple Response Table** n≠120

**Table 3.** Distribution of the respondent according to frequency of fruit and vegetables consumption

| Fruits/vegetables | Mean (No of times purchased/month) | Percentage |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| Citrus            | 7.9                                | 6.7        |
| Mango             | 7.5                                | 6.7        |
| Banana/plantain   | 6.3                                | 5.0        |
| Pawpaw            | 2.3                                | 1.7        |
| Garden Eggs       | 4.2                                | 3.3        |
| Carrot            | 5.8                                | 5.0        |
| Cucumber          | 3.6                                | 3.3        |
| Waterleaf         | 7.0                                | 6.7        |
| Onion             | 11.4                               | 58.3       |
| Tomato            | 11.4                               | 53.3       |
| Jute Mallow       | 6.4                                | 5.0        |
| Amaranthus        | 7.3                                | 6.7        |
| Celosia           | 3.3                                | 3.3        |
| Telferia          | 4.5                                | 3.3        |
| Bitter leaf       | 6.0                                | 3.3        |
| Cashew            | 4.7                                | 3.3        |
| Apple             | 1.9                                | 3.3        |

**Source:** Field Survey, 2018. **Multiple Response Table** n≠120

# Respondents' perception of fruits and vegetables consumption

Table 4 reveals that respondents have strong perception of the facts that vegetables can be easily incorporated into meals than fruits, fruits have more nutritional benefits than vegetables and too much of fruits and vegetables lead to running stomach and watery stool which were ranked 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and

3<sup>rd</sup> respectively. However, respondents had low perception of the facts that fruits can be easily incorporated into meals than vegetables, excessive consumption of fruits and vegetables can cause stomach ulcer and fruits and vegetables are often costlier than real food items which ranked 8<sup>th</sup>, 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> respectively.

**Table 4.** Respondents' perception of fruits and vegetables consumption ( $n\neq120$ )

| Perception statements                                   | SA (%) | A (%) | U (%) | D (%) | SD (%) | WMS  | Rank |
|---------------------------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------|------|
|                                                         |        |       |       |       |        |      |      |
| Vegetables can easily be incorporated into meals than   | 43.3   | 40.0  | 8.3   | 6.7   | -      | 4.22 | 1st  |
| fruits                                                  |        |       |       |       |        |      |      |
| Fruits have more nutritional benefits than vegetables   | 30.0   | 31.7  | 23.3  | 5.0   | 5.0    | 3.81 | 2nd  |
| Too much of fruits and vegetables usually lead to       | 28.3   | 31.7  | 16.7  | 11.7  | 8.3    | 3.62 | 3rd  |
| running stomach and water stools                        |        |       |       |       |        |      |      |
| Vegetables are more readily available than fruits       | 20.0   | 25.0  | 21.7  | 25.0  | 1.7    | 3.39 | 4th  |
| Fruits are highly seasonal and should not be integrated | 6.7    | 43.3  | 25.0  | 16.7  | 6.7    | 3.27 | 5th  |
| into routine the household meal regime                  |        |       |       |       |        |      |      |
| Too much intake of fruits and vegetables can lead to    | 6.7    | 31.7  | 30.0  | 23.3  | 6.7    | 3.08 | 6th  |
| loss of appetite for real food                          |        |       |       |       |        |      |      |
| Vegetables have more nutritional benefits than fruits   | 16.7   | 10.0  | 36.7  | 26.7  | 8.3    | 3.00 | 7th  |
| Fruits can easily be incorporated into meals than       | 10.0   | 8.3   | 26.7  | 38.3  | 15.0   | 2.59 | 8th  |
| vegetables                                              |        |       |       |       |        |      |      |
| Excessive consumption of fruits and vegetables can      | -      | 11.7  | 33.3  | 28.3  | 25.0   | 2.32 | 9th  |
| cause stomach ulcer                                     |        |       |       |       |        |      |      |
| Fruits and vegetables are often costlier than real food | 10.0   | 11.7  | 10.0  | 26.7  | 38.3   | 2.26 | 10th |
| items                                                   |        |       |       |       |        |      |      |

**Source:** Field Survey, 2018. **Multiple Response Table** n≠120

# Constraints to fruits and vegetables consumption

Table 5 shows the major constraints to fruits and vegetables consumption in the study area, in their order of severity. Seasonality was ranked 1<sup>st</sup> as the most severe constraint to fruits and vegetables consumption in the study area. This is followed by availability, taste and preference and price which were ranked 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> respectively. Taboo

and sensory reasons do not constitute serious constraint to fruits and vegetables consumption in the study area, as they ranked 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup>. Though ranked 4<sup>th</sup>, price is a potent constraint to fruits and vegetables consumption. This is evident in the level of cucumber and apple consumption which is quite low probably because of their relative exorbitant prices.

Table 5. Constraints to fruits and vegetables consumption among respondents

| Factors              | rctors Frequency (%) |                   |
|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Seasonality          | 80 (66.7)            | 1 <sup>st</sup>   |
| Availability         | 44 (36.7)            | $2^{\mathrm{nd}}$ |
| Taste and preference | 28 (23.3)            | $3^{ m rd}$       |
| Price                | 26 (21.7)            | $4^{	ext{th}}$    |
| Taboo                | 04 (3.3)             | 5 <sup>th</sup>   |
| Sensory reasons      | 02 (1.7)             | 6 <sup>th</sup>   |

**Source:** Field Survey, 2018. **Multiple Response Table** n≠120

## **CONCLUSION**

The study concluded that the level of fruits and vegetables consumption is very

low in the study area, except for onions and tomatoes which are regular meal cooking ingredients. Seasonality, availability and taste and preference were ranked 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> as the most severe constraints to fruits and vegetables consumption in the study area. Different misperceptions about fruits and vegetables consumption were also found among respondents. Therefore, awareness and training programmes should be organized to bring about value reorientation on nutrition and health benefits of fruits and vegetables consumption in the study area.

# REFERENCES

Adish, A.A., Esrey, S.A., Gyorkos, T.W. and Johns, T. 1999. Risk factors for iron deficiency anemia in preschool children in northern Ethiopia. Public Health Nutr. 2: 243–52.

Bellavia, A., Larsson, S.C., Bottai, M., Wolk, A., Orsini, N. 2013. Fruit and vegetable consumption and all-cause mortality: A Dose-response Analysis. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 98(2): 454-459.

Conner, T.S., Brookie, K.L., Carr, A.C., Mainvil, L., Vissers, M.C.M. 2017. Let them eat fruit! The effect of fruit and vegetable consumption on psychological well-being in young adults: A randomized controlled trial. PLoS One. 12(2):e0171206.

FAO, 2003. Increasing fruit and vegetable consumption becomes a global priority. FAO News Room Focus 2003. 2003. Available from http://www.fao.org/english/newsroom/focus/2003/fruitveg1.htm [Accessed: 2018-11-28]

Ifeoluwapo, A. 2018. Health benefits of fruits and vegetables: Review from Sub-Saharan Africa, Intech Open Access Books Doi: 10.5772/intechopen.74472 pp 34-53.

Ihucha, A. 2011. East Africa: low fruit, veg intake killing citizens. the east african. Available@http://www.theeastafrican.co.k e/news/Low+fruit++veg+intake+killing+E ast+Africans++study+/-/2558/1264416/-/3lmid9/-/index.html.

Mathilda, E.B., Luret, A.L., Jonathan, D.S., Audu, S.L. 2012. Knowledge and intake of fruit and vegetables consumption among adults in an urban community in north central Nigeria. The Nigerian Health Journal, 12(1): 12-15.

Oyebode, O., Gordon-Dseagu, V., Walker, A., Mindell, J.S. 2013. Fruit and vegetable consumption and all-cause, cancer and CVD mortality: Analysis of Health Survey for England data. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 31: 24-29.

Ruel, M.T., Nicholas, M., Lisa S. 2004. Patterns and determinants of fruit and vegetable consumption in Sub-Saharan Africa. FAO/WHO workshop on fruits and vegetables for health,

1<sup>st</sup> – 3<sup>rd</sup> September, 2004. Japan. [Online] Available at www.who.mt../en/. Accessed 21/5/2017.

Rolls, B.J., Ello-Martin, J.A., Tohill, B.C. 2004. What can intervention studies tell us about the relationship between fruit and vegetable consumption and weight management? Nutrition Review, 62(1): 1-17.

USDA 2009. Why is it important to eat fruit? Available from http://www.myp yramid.gov/ pyramid/fruits\_why.html.

World Health Organization 2003. Global Strategy on Diet, Physical Activity and Health http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/fruit/index1.html